Ę	
S	
E	L
$\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}$	V
Ŋ	IA
TS	L
R	Ċ
7	
9	511
Ž	
EV	-
<b>∀</b>	2
0	$\subseteq$
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	UNITED STATED DISTRICT COURT

į	
ł	
- 1	
- 1	
!	
İ	
į	
į	
į	
- 1	
- !	
- 1	
- 1	
İ	
į	
i	
i	
į	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
İ	
i	
i	
i	
Х	
i	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- ><	

NURO FERATOVIC

Plaintiff,

Amended Complaint CASE NO.: 24 CV 4186

- against -

Albert "Doe" Srour and Khalil "Doe" Ismael New York Corporation, 1350 E 18th LLC, a New York Corporation NEPTUNE MAINTENANCE CORP., a Individually

Defendant.

 $\stackrel{\mathsf{!}}{\Join}$ 

#### COMPLAINT

Albert Srour and Khalil Ismael (individual defendants); MAINTENANCE CORP., (hereinafter "Neptune"), 1350 E 18th LLC herein after ('E 18th") Plaintiff, NURO FERATOVIC, ("Plaintiff"), hereby sues Defendant, NEPTUNE

#### NATURE OF ACTION

overtime wage orders of the New York Commissioner of Labor codified at N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. Tit. 12, § 146-1.6 (the "Spread of Hours Wage Order"), for retaliation under The Fair Labor Standards Act of 1538, 29 U.S.C. §201 et seq. ("FLSA") including 1. Plaintiff brings this action for unpaid overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA") for violations of the N.Y. Labor Law §§ 190 et seq. and 650 et seq. (the "NYLL"), and for the violations of the "spread of hours" and applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees, and costs.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims is conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) 216(b), 28 U.S.C. § 1337 (interstate commerce), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question). This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §
- $\dot{\omega}$ Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because all or a

substantial part of the event or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District, Defendant operate their business in this District, and Plaintiff was employed in this District.

#### ARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is over the age of 18 years, sui juris, and is a resident of the State of New
- S corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Corporate Defendant, Neptune Maintenance Corporation ("Neptune") is a
- 6. Brooklyn, New York 11229. Corporate Defendant, Neptune, is located at 2530 Ocean Avenue, Suite 1A,
- .7 Ocean Avenue, Suite 1A, Brooklyn, NY 11229. organized and existing under the laws of New York State and is located at 2350 Corporate Defendant, 1350 E 18th Street, LLC ("E 18th"), is a corporation
- $\infty$ enterprises as defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203 (r-s). Defendant, Neptune Maintenance Corp. and 1350 E 18th Street, LLC, are both
- 9. Brooklyn, NY 11229. 18th Street, LLC and has a place of business at 2530 Ocean Avenue, Suite 1A, Defendant Albert Srour is the owner of Neptune Maintenance Corp. and 1350 E
- 10. New York 11229. work with a place of business located at 2530 Ocean Avenue, Suite 1A, Brooklyn, 18th Street, LLC and is the direct supervisor of Plaintiff and directed his hours of Defendant Khalil Ismael is employed by Neptune Maintenance Corp. and 1350 E
- an industry or activity affecting commerce. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in
- 12. hereto, an gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00 Upon information and belief, the Corporate Defendants had, at all time material
- <u>...</u> directly engaged in interstate commerce. Union information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprise were and are

#### ACTS

74 within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL At all relevant times, Plaintiff was and is a former employee of the Defendants

Plaintiff worked for the Defendants from July 1976 through March 2024

15.

- 16. NY 11230. cleaning and maintaining of the building located at 1350 E 18th Street, Brooklyn, Plaintiff was employed as a Building Superintendent and his job duties included
- 17. cleaning supplies, oil deliveries, and other goods. Plaintiff regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, including different
- <del>1</del>8. Plaintiff's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.
- 19. Plaintiff worked approximately 49 hours per week during his employment with a.m.to 3:00 p.m. and returned from 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. daily. Accordingly, Plaintiff worked seven (7) days a week (Monday through Sunday ) from 10:00 Defendant.
- 20. Plaintiff was paid a flat rate of \$185.00/week
- 21. time was the plaintiff told he was a janitor. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was paid by check, at no
- 22. hours worked over forty (40) in each work week. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff any overtime premium (time and a half) for
- 23. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff minimum wage for hours worked
- 24 day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay salary, piece, commission, or other, allowances, if any, claimed as part of the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, and the employee's primary language (in this case, Serbo-Crotian, containing: the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law §195(1). Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff, at the time of hiring, a statement in English
- 25. in which he was required to be on the premises for 10 hours or more per day. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff the required "spread of hours" pay for any day
- 26 violating the FLSA and the NYLL. repeatedly harmed Plaintiff by engaging in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of As part of their regular business practice, Defendants intentionally, willfully, and
- 27. was aware of the hours worked and the failure to pay overtime or minimum wage Defendant Khalil Ismael was and is the direct supervisor of Plaintiff. As such he

Plaintiff and the failure to pay minimum wage or overtime. 1350 E 18th Street, LLC, and as such was fully aware of the hours worked by Defendant Albert Srour was and is the owner of Neptune Maintenance Corp. and

28.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of the Overtime Provisions of the FLSA)

- 29. set forth here. Plaintiff re-avers and re-alleges the allegations set forth above, as though fully
- 30. half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked more than forty hours in a work week, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207 (a)(1). Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates of one and one-
- 31. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 32. Plaintiff was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of the Overtime Provisions of the New York Labor Law)

- 33. Plaintiff re-avers and re-alleges the allegations set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 34. work week, in violation of NYLL Art. 19 and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 142-2.2. half time the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at rates of one and one-
- 35. meaning of NYLL § 663 Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation was willful within the
- 36 Plaintiff was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of the Spread of Hours Wage Order of the New York Commissioner of Labor)

- 37. Plaintiff re-avers and re-alleges the allegations set forth above, as though fully set
- 38. or more in violation of NYLL §§650 et seq. and 12 N.Y.C.R.R. §§ 146-1.6. wage rate before allowances for each day Plaintiff's spread of hours was ten hours Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff one additional hour's pay at the basic minimum

- 39. meaning of NYLL § 663. Plaintiff's spread of hours was ten (10) hours or more was willful within the Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff an additional hour's pay for each day
- 40. Plaintiff was damaged in an amount of be determined at trial

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law)

- 41. Plaintiff re-avers and re-alleges the allegations set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. such other information as required by NYLL §195(1). Plaintiff's primary language, of his rate of pay, regular pay day, and Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English and in
- 43. and attorneys' fees. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00, together with costs

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# (violation of the Wage Statement Provisions of the New York Labor Law)

- 44. Plaintiff re-avers and re-alleges the allegations set forth above, as though fully set
- <del>2</del>5. wages, as required by NYLL 195(3). Defendants never provided Plaintiff with wage statements upon each payment of
- 46 and attorneys' fees. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 together with costs

### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff minimum wage for hours worked)

- 47. Plaintiff re-avers and re-alleges the allegations set forth above, as they are fully set forth herein.
- **48** violation of New York State minimum wage law Article 19 §652 Defendant agreed to pay plaintiff minimum wage for the hours he would in

#### SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (retaliation under the FLSA)

- 49. forth herein. Plaintiff re-avers and re-alleges the allegations set forth above, as truthfully set
- 50. Standards Act. complaint in violation of the non retaliation provision of the Fair Labor Defendants terminated Plaintiff for no other reason than his filing of this

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment as follows:

- $\underline{a}$ rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff. Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions and associated
- <u>5</u> as to Plaintiff. Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the FLSA were willful
- <u>c</u> under the FLSA as applicable; wages, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages Awarding Plaintiff damages for the amount of unpaid wages, unpaid overtime
- <u>a</u> damages for the amount of unpaid overtime wages, 29 U.S.C. § 216 (b); Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of their
- e provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under NYLL; Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions, overtime wage
- Ð Declaring that Defendants violated the Spread of Hours wage Order of the New York Commission of Labor.
- 8 Hours Wage Order were willful; Declaring that Defendants' violations of the New York Labor Law and Spread of
- H) taken against wages. hours pay under the NYLL, and damages for any improper deductions or credits Awarding Plaintiff damages for the amount of unpaid overtime wages, spread of
- ۳: recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198 (1-d); Awarding Plaintiff damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice and
- ٠ Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent

Date: April 16, 2025

damages pursuant to NYLL § 198(3); shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable; and liquidated (100%) of the total amount of spread of hours pay and overtime compensation

- K) Declaring the Defendants violated the non-retaliation provision of the Fair Labor Standard Act.
- L) Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as applicable;
- K attorneys' fees; Awarding Plaintiff the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and
- judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent (15%), as required by appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of NYLL §198(4); and following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days
- 9 All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper

#### JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Respectfully Submitted,

mp@elefantepersanis.com Eastchester, NY 10709 Matthew Persanis, Esq.(MP1182) 141 Hillside Place Elefante & Persanis